

Synthesis of Novel Indole Analogues of Mycophenolic Acid as Potential Antineoplastic Agents

Gaifa Lai* and Wayne K. Anderson

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

Received 14 January 2000; revised 22 February 2000; accepted 23 February 2000

Abstract—The expedient synthesis of three novel indole analogues, 2a-c, of mycophenolic acid is described, which involved as the key steps both the $Et₂O_·BF₃$ catalyzed amino-Claisen rearrangement of N-allylindoline to 7-allylindoline and the ortho ester Claisen rearrangement of the allylic alcohol 12 to the methyl ester 14. The installation of the substituents at the C-3 position in 2b and 2c was accomplished via Vilsmeier formylation and subsequent oxidation-aminolysis or oxidation-methoxylation. The synthetic approach described possesses general usefulness. The analogue $2b$ showed significant, reproducible antitumor activity. \odot 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPD), the ratelimiting enzyme in the de novo synthesis of guanine nucleotides, catalyzes the oxidation of inosine monophosphate (IMP) to xanthosine monophosphate (XMP) with the concomitant conversion of NAD^+ to $NADH¹⁻³$ Two isoforms of this enzyme are identified in mammalian cells. The type I isoform is expressed constitutively, while the type II is induced during cellular proliferation.^{4,5} There is a markedly elevated level of the type II isoform in rapidly proliferating cells such as human tumor cells⁵ and, as a result, there is an increased production of guanine nucleotides in these cells. Inhibition of IMPD has been recognized as an important chemotherapeutic approach. For example, the IMPD inhibitor tiazofuran⁶ is used in the treatment of human leukemia.

Mycophenolic acid (MPA, 1), produced by the fermentation of a number of penicillium species, $\frac{7}{1}$ is a potent inhibitor of IMPD with 4.8 times greater specificity against the type II isoform $(K_i=6-10 \text{ nM})$,^{4a} and it therefore inhibits guanine nucleotide biosynthesis. This compound has been shown to have significant antineoplastic, 8 antiviral , antiparasitic, 10 and immunosuppressive 11 activity. MPA is currently used in the treatment of psoriasis on a compassionate basis.¹² The morpholinoethyl ester prodrug of MPA (myco-

phenolate mofetil, RS-61443) has recently been approved for use as an immunosuppressant in renal allograft recipients.¹³ Two attractive features of MPA are low toxicity and the reversal of toxic effects upon withdrawal. The major problem to limit the clinical utility of MPA for cancer chemotherapy, however, is that sufficient therapeutic blood levels cannot be achieved because of rapid conjugation of its C-7 phenolic group with glucuronic acid to form the inactive β -glucuronide.^{14,15} As high as 90% of the drug circulates in this inactive conjugate.¹⁵ Structureactivity studies¹⁶ showed that methylation of the C-7 phenol of MPA eliminated activity and esters (prodrugs) were active only if they could be hydrolyzed in vivo. Replacement of the C-7 phenolic hydroxyl with a thiol produced an inactive compound. The 7-amino analogue of MPA showed less or comparable antitumor activity but was not subject to metabolic inactivation either in vitro or in vivo to form the corresponding β -glucuronide derivative.¹⁵

As a continuation of our efforts¹⁷ in the development of potent, metabolically stable IMPD inhibitors for use in neoplastic disease, we designed and synthesized the indole analogues, $2a-c$, of MPA, in which the metabolically labile phenolic group was replaced by an isosteric, 'fixedgeometry' hydrogen-bonding N-H group of an indole ring, and the requisite MPA hexenoic acid side chain was retained at the C-7 position. The fixed hydrogen-bonding geometry of these indole analogues is identical to one of the two favored geometries of the MPA phenolic group.¹⁸ For the analogues 2b and 2c, the carboxamide and methoxycarbonyl moieties were respectively attached at the C-3 position to prove the impact of these pharmacologically significant substituents. In this paper, we describe the

Keywords: antitumor compounds; indoles; mycophenolic acid; Claisen rearrangements.

^{*} Corresponding author. Address for correspondence: 8-7B Koster Blvd., Edison, NJ 08837, USA; fax: +1-732-422-0156; e-mail: glai@pharmacop.com

Scheme 1. (i) Allyl bromide, Et₃N, DMF; (ii) Et₂O·BF₃, sulfolane, 200-210°C; (iii) ClCO₂Et, 2,6-lutidine, THF; (iv) OsO₄, NaIO₄, THF $-$ H₂O (3:1).

expedient synthesis of these title compounds $(2a-c)$ using our two-Claisen-rearrangement strategy.¹

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of these new MPA analogues $2a-c$ features both the amino-Claisen rearrangement of N-allylindoline (4), leading to the introduction of the allyl group at the C-7 position, and the ortho ester Claisen rearrangement of the allylic alcohol 12 to complete the construction of the MPA side chain in the ester form. As shown in Scheme 1, treatment of indoline with allyl bromide and triethylamine in DMF gave N-allylindoline (4) in 90% yield. After some difficulties had been experienced, we found that rearrangement of N-allylindoline smoothly proceeded in the presence of Et₂O \cdot BF₃ in sulfolane under argon at 200 -210° C to furnish 7-allylindoline (5) in 47% yield.¹⁹ Conversion of 5 to the ethoxycarbonyl derivative 6 was uneventfully accomplished by using ethyl chloroformate and 2,6-lutidine in THF. Oxidative cleavage of the double bond in the carbamate 6 with $OsO₄–NaIO₄$ in THF–H₂O (3:1)²⁰ provided the aldehyde 7 as a yellow liquid in 56% yield.

With the aldehyde 7 in hand, we investigated its reaction with isopropenyl magnesium bromide (prepared from isopropenyl bromide and magnesium turnings in $THF²¹$).

Treatment of 7 with this Grignard reagent did not afford the desired allylic alcohol 8. Instead the tricyclic compound 9 was obtained in 76% yield as a white crystalline solid (mp 79–81°C). The structure of 9 was confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, APT, IR, and elemental analyses. Its formation may be due to the intramolecular attack of the resulting anion of Grignard reaction at the carbamate carbonyl group with the ethoxy group subsequently leaving.

The sterically hindered, not readily leaving tert-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) protecting group was next tested in the hope of surmounting the formation of the undesired tricyclic compound 9. As outlined in Scheme 2, 7-allylindoline (5) was converted to its Boc derivative 10 by treatment with ditert-butyl dicarbonate in dioxane at 80° C.²² Subsequent oxidation of 10 with $OsO₄–NaIO₄²⁰$ gave rise to the aldehyde 11 in 57% yield. Reaction of this aldehyde 11 with isopropenyl magnesium bromide in THF did indeed produce the desired allylic alcohol 12 as a white solid, without the tricyclic byproduct 9 being detected.

The synthesis of the title compound 2a from the allylic alcohol 12 was realized in four steps (Scheme 3). Thus, under the conditions of the ortho ester Claisen rearrangement, the alcohol 12 was treated with excess trimethyl orthoacetate $(10-20 \text{ equiv.})$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of propanoic acid $(0.5-0.8 \text{ equiv.})$ under argon at $105-110^{\circ}$ C overnight (ca. 12 h)^{21,23} to give the corresponding methyl ester 14 in nearly quantitative yield (98%) presumably via the intermediate 13. Removal of the Boc

Scheme 2. (i) Boc₂O, dioxane, 80°C; (ii) OsO₄, NaIO₄, THF-H₂O (3:1); (iii) CH₂=C(CH₃)Br, Mg, THF.

Scheme 3. (i) CH₃C(OCH₃)₃, CH₃CH₂CO₂H, 105-110°C; (ii) 4 M HCl, dioxane; or 2 M TFA, CH₂Cl₂; (iii) DDQ, xylenes, 100-110°C; (iv) KOH, EtOH, H₂O.

protecting group in 14 with 4 M HCl solution in dioxane²⁴ afforded the indoline 15 in 72% yield. This deprotection was also achieved in 60% yield by using 2 M TFA solution in CH_2Cl_2 ²² Dehydrogenation of 15 with DDQ in xylenes at $100-110^{\circ}C^{25}$ readily gave the indole 16 in 75% yield. Alkaline hydrolysis of the ester in 16 furnished the title compound 2a as a white solid (mp $78-79.5^{\circ}C$, 92%).

The 3-substituted indole analogues 2b and 2c were prepared from the advanced intermediate 16. As depicted in Scheme 4, Vilsmeier formylation of 16 with POCl₃ and DMF expectedly afforded the 3-formylindole 17 in satisfactory vield (77%) .²⁶ Treatment of 17 with manganese dioxide and ammonia in 2-propanol in the presence of sodium cyanide²⁷ gave the desired amide 18 as a white solid in 76% yield along with a small amount of the 3-cyanoindole 19 (5%). Formation of the byproduct 19 might proceed through oxidation of the resulting aldimine from the aldehyde 17 and ammonia.²⁷ Alkaline hydrolysis of the

ester in 18 provided the title analogue 2b as a white solid (mp $171-172$ °C, 83%). Conversion of 17 to the diester 20 was accomplished by means of our modification²⁸ of the one-pot method reported by Corey and coworkers.²⁹ Selective alkaline hydrolysis of the side chain ester in 20 furnished the title analogue $2c$ as a white solid (mp $92-$ 95 $^{\circ}$ C, 70%) along with the recovery of 20% of the starting diester 20.

Preliminary biological tests showed that the carboxamide analogue 2b possessed significant, reproducible activity in the NCI human tumor panel screen (mean $GI₅₀$ = 3.5×10^{-6} molar, for the human ovarian cancer cell line IGROV1, $GI_{50} = 4.4 \times 10^{-7}$ molar). The two other analogues 2a and 2c were inactive. The analogue 2b has been selected for advanced study against prostate cancer.

In summary, three novel indole analogues of MPA have been synthesized in $9-11$ steps starting from indoline.

This expedient route, based on both the $Et₂O₅BF₃$ catalyzed amino-Claisen rearrangement and the ortho ester Claisen rearrangement as two key steps, may be easily extended to the synthesis of other related new indole analogues of MPA.

Experimental

Melting points were determined in an open capillary with a Thomas-Hoover Unimelt apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively. IR spectra were determined with a Matteson Polaris FT-IR interferometer. Mass spectra were obtained with a VG Analytical ZG70SE spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc., Atlanta, GA. Silica gel for flash column chromatography $(230-$ 400 mesh ASTM) was obtained from EM Science. Commercially available reagents and solvents were used without purification unless otherwise noted.

 (E) -6-(Indol-7-yl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoic acid (2a). To a solution of 16 (280 mg, 1.09 mmol) in ethanol (4 mL) at 0° C was added 0.5 M KOH solution (13 mL, 6.5 mmol) dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt until the hydrolysis was complete (18 h). The mixture was cooled, acidi fied with cold 5% HCl solution to pH $2-3$, and extracted with EtOAc $(3\times70 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL) , dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography $(hexanes-Et₂O-HCO₂H, 150:50:1)$ to give 243 mg (92%) of 2a as a white solid: mp 78-79.5°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.24 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 7.53 (d, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, $J=2.7-3.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, $J=7.5-7.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.56 (t, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.52 (t, $J=6.7-$ 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 2H), 2.55 (t, $J=6.6-$ 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (t, J=6.7–7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.85 (s 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 179.9, 135.6, 135.5, 128.5, 124.6, 124.0, 123.8, 122.1, 120.5, 119.4, 103.4, 34.7, 33.0, 31.3, 16.8; IR (KBr) 3394, 3146, 2951, 2779, 1697, 1433, 1297, 1208 cm⁻¹; EIMS m/e (relative intensity): 242 (M⁺-1, 1), 241 $(M⁺-2, 8)$, 147 (21), 129 (100), 112 (34), 111(16), 83 (15), 71 (38), 70 (42), 57 (68), 55 (50), 43 (64), 41 (49). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{17}NO_2$: C, 74.04; H, 7.04; N, 5.76. Found: C, 73.97; H, 7.05; N, 5.67.

(E)-6-(3-Carboxamidoindol-7-yl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoic acid $(2b)$. To a solution of 18 $(100 \text{ mg}, 0.33 \text{ mmol})$ in ethanol (4 mL) at 0°C was added 0.5 M KOH solution (3 mL) , 1.5 mmol) dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt until the hydrolysis was complete (2 h). The mixture was cooled, acidified with cold 5% HCl solution to pH 2-3, and extracted with EtOAc $(3\times50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL) , dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2-EtOAC-HCO_2H$, 50:50:1) to give 79 mg (83%) of 2b as a white solid: R_f 0.42 (CH₂Cl₂-EtOAc-HCO₂H, 10:10:1); mp 171-172°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.35 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 8.24 (d, $J=2.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.05 (s, 2H, NH₂), 7.68 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J=7.4-8.1 Hz,

1H), 7.08 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (t, 1H), 3.70 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.63 (t, $J=6.8-8.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.47 (t, $J=6.7-7.7$ Hz, 2H), 1.91 (s 3H); IR (KBr) 3469, 3389, 3306, 3215, 2917, 1705, 1636, 1571, 1447, 1360, 1299, 1207 cm⁻¹; CIMS m/e (relative intensity): 287 (MH⁺, 54), 286 (M¹, 14), 269 (54), 250 (22), 244 (68), 243 (29), 175 (100), 161 (29), 132 (15), 117 (26), 115 (66); HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}NO_3$ (M⁺), 286.1317, found, 286.1317. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}NO_3.0.5H_2O$: C, 65.07; H, 6.48; N, 9.49. Found: C, 64.94; H, 6.21; N, 9.28.

(E)-6-[3-(Methoxycarbonyl)indol-7-yl]-4-methyl-4-hexenoic acid (2c). To a solution of 20 (150 mg, 0.48 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) at 0° C was added 0.5 M KOH solution (2 mL, 1.0 mmol) dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and then diluted with EtOAc (40 mL). The mixture was cooled, acidified with cold 5% HCl solution to pH 3, and extracted with EtOAc $(3\times80 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL), dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give an oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc-hexanes-HCO₂H, 100:100:1) to give 30 mg of the starting diester 20 and 80 mg (70%) of 2c as a white solid: R_f 0.51 (EtOAc–hexanes–HCO₂H, 150:75:1); mp 92–95°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.08 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 8.03 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J=3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, $J=7.4-8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.51 (t, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.62 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.57 (t, $J=6.7-7.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.42 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.83 (s 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) ^d 179.1, 166.8, 136.2, 136.0, 132.1, 126.5, 124.7, 123.6, 123.4, 122.7, 120.0, 109.0, 51.7, 34.7, 32.5, 31.5, 16.8; IR (KBr) 3298, 2910, 1691, 1612, 1535, 1446, 1296, 1194, 1172, 1123 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NO₄: C, 67.76; H, 6.36; N, 4.65. Found: C, 67.52; H, 6.35; N, 4.63.

7-Allyl-1-(ethoxycarbonyl)indoline (6). To a solution of 7-allylindoline¹⁹ (3.02 g, 18.97 mmol) and 2,6-lutidine $(4.4 \text{ mL}, 37.78 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (25 mL) at 0°C was added ethyl chloroformate (3.6 mL, 37.65 mmol) dropwise. After addition, the mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred overnight. Ether (80 mL) was added and the mixture was then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography ($EtOAc$ –hexanes, 1:10) to afford 3.87 g (88%) of 6 as a pale yellow liquid: R_f 0.20 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:10); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.09–7.03 (m, 3H), 5.98-5.89 (m, 1H), 5.16-5.07 (m, 2H), 4.26 (q, $J=7.2$ Hz, 2H), 4.13 (t, $J=7.6-7.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.49 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.00 (t, $J=7.7$ Hz, 2H), 1.34 (t, $J=7.1-$ 7.2 Hz, 3H).

[1-(Ethoxycarbonyl)indolin-7-yl]acetaldehyde (7). A mixture of 6 (3.87 g, 16.73 mmol), $OsO₄$ (80 mg), THF (45 mL), and water (15 mL) was stirred for 15 min at rt. To the resulting dark brown mixture was added sodium periodate (8.95 g, 41.84 mmol) in small portions over a period of 30 min. After being stirred for 3 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution (30 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3\times140 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (80 mL) , dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a black brown oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography

(EtOAc–hexanes, 1:10) to afford 2.17 g $(56%)$ of 7 as a yellow liquid: R_f 0.34 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:5); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.77 (s, 1H), 7.16 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (t, $J=7.2-7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, $J=7.1$ Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, $J=7.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 2H), 3.03 (t, J=7.7-8.1 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3) ^d 200.7, 156.2, 142.7, 135.5, 131.0, 125.4, 124.3, 122.9, 62.6, 50.8, 48.7, 29.9, 15.2; IR (neat) 2980, 2910, 1726, 1711, 1456, 1380, 1324 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}NO_3.0.25H_2O$: C, 65.67; H, 6.57; N, 5.89. Found: C, 65.96; H, 6.43; N, 5.93.

6-(Isopropenyl)-1,2,6,7-tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2,3-cd][1,3] benzoxazepin-4-one (9). Into a 100 mL, three-necked, round-bottomed flask were placed magnesium turnings $(200 \text{ mg}, 8.23 \text{ mmol})$. The flask was flame dried under argon and cooled to 25° C, and anhydrous THF (30 mL) and a small crystal of iodine were added. Isopropenyl bromide (0.75 mL, 8.44 mmol) was then added dropwise with stirring. Sufficient external heat was applied to the reaction flask with oil bath (60° C). After addition, the reaction mixture was gently heated at $55-60^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, cooled, and diluted with THF (10 mL) to give a grey solution. To this solution was added a solution of $7(1.27 \text{ g}, 5.45 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (10 mL) dropwise with cooling of ice-water bath. The mixture was then stirred at rt for 5 h when TLC analysis (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:3) showed the disappearance of the starting material. The mixture was poured into cold saturated ammonium chloride solution (25 mL), and extracted with ether $(3\times100 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (2×50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:10) to give 950 mg (76%) of 9 as a white crystalline solid: R_f 0.20 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:5); mp 79–81°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.10–7.07 (m, 1H), 6.95– 6.90 (m, 2H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.87 (d, $J=10.0$ Hz, 1H), $4.23-4.01$ (m, 2H), $3.37-3.28$ (m, 1H), $3.14-3.07$ (m, 1H), 3.09 (s, 1H), 2.98 (d, J=17.0 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 154.9, 143.0, 139.4, 133.0, 129.0, 124.4, 123.7, 123.6, 114.3, 81.2, 50.3, 38.3, 27.2, 18.7; IR (KBr) 3035, 2973, 2917, 1663, 1601, 1459, 1390, 1323, 1279, 1216 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}NO_2$: C, 73.34; H, 6.59; N, 6.11. Found: C, 73.33; H, 6.64; N, 5.98.

7-Allyl-1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)indoline (10). A mixture of 7-allylindoline¹⁹ (1.49 g, 9.36 mmol), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (3.2 mL, 13.93 mmol), and dioxane (15 mL) was stirred at 85°C for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAchexanes, 1:10) to afford 2.31 g $(95%)$ of 10 as a pale yellow liquid: R_f 0.49 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:10); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ $7.08-6.98$ (m, 3H), $5.97-5.83$ (m, 1H), $5.15-5.05$ (m, 2H), 4.07 (t, $J=7.7-7.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.51 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.98 (t, $J=7.6-7.7$ Hz, 2H), 1.54 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.4, 141.9, 137.5, 135.2, 130.6, 129.2, 125.1, 122.8, 116.4, 81.2, 51.6, 38.3, 30.2, 29.0; IR (neat) 2979, 1811, 1709, 1370, 1120 cm^{-1} .

[1-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)indolin-7-yl]acetaldehyde (11). A mixture of 10 (2.31 g, 8.91 mmol), $OsO₄$ (80 mg), THF (30 mL), and water (10 mL) was stirred for 15 min at rt. To the resulting dark brown mixture was added sodium periodate (6 g, 28.05 mmol) in small portions over a period of 15 min. After being stirred for 2 h at rt, the insoluble solid was filtered out, and the filtrate was extracted with ether $(3\times60 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (60 mL), dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:10) to afford 1.32 g (57%) of 11 as a white solid: R_f 0.35 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:5); mp 58–59°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ .75 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.05 $(t, J=7.3-7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.99 \text{ (d, } J=7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.06 \text{ (t, }$ $J=7.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 2H), 3.02 (t, $J=7.7-8.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.51 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 00.6, 154.2, 142.7, 135.5, 130.9, 125.1, 124.2, 122.9, 81.5, 51.0, 48.9, 29.9, 28.8; IR (KBr) 2986, 2897, 2820, 2715, 1724, 1693, 1455, 1377, 1341 cm⁻¹; EIMS m/e (relative intensity) 261 (M^+ , 5), 161 (25), 143 (100), 132 (42), 115 (30), 57 (44), 41 (48). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₉NO₃: C, 68.94; H, 7.33; N, 5.36. Found: C, 68.85; H, 7.35; N, 5.33.

1-[1-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)indolin-7-yl]-3-methyl-3-buten-2-ol (12). Into a 100 mL, three-necked, round-bottomed flask were placed magnesium turnings (184 mg, 7.57 mmol). The flask was flame dried under argon and cooled to 25° C, and anhydrous THF (30 mL) and a small crystal of iodine were added. Isopropenyl bromide (0.75 mL, 8.44 mmol) was then added dropwise with stirring. Sufficient external heat was applied to the reaction flask with oil bath $(60^{\circ}C)$. After addition, the reaction mixture was gently heated at $55-60^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, cooled, and diluted with THF (10 mL) to give a grey solution. To this solution was added a solution of 11 (1.32 g, 5.05 mmol) in THF (10 mL) dropwise with cooling of ice-water bath. The mixture was then stirred at rt for 5 h when TLC analysis (EtOAc±hexanes, 1:3) showed the disappearance of the starting material. The mixture was poured into cold saturated ammonium chloride solution (20 mL), and extracted with ether $(3\times70 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water $(2\times50 \text{ mL})$, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:3) to give 1.05 g (69%) of 12 as a white solid: R_f 0.24 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:5); mp 79–81°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ .19 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.11–7.06 (m, 2H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.46–4.39 (m, 1H), 4.29– 4.21 (m, 1H), 3.92-3.85 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, broad, 1H, OH), 3.18 -3.07 (m, 1H), 2.96 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 2.89 -2.79 (m, 1H), 1.83 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.7, 148.9, 142.3, 135.5, 129.7, 129.1, 125.5, 123.2, 110.8, 81.8, 75.9, 51.7, 39.2, 30.2, 28.9, 18.3; IR (KBr) 3407, 3072, 2966, 2913, 1678, 1451, 1390, 1243, 1156 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}NO_3$: C, 71.26; H, 8.31; N, 4.62. Found: C, 71.33; H, 8.35; N, 4.62.

Methyl (E)-6-[1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)indolin-7-yl]-4 methyl-4-hexenoate (14). Into a 25-mL, three-necked flask equipped with a condenser, a Dean-Stark trap, and a thermometer were charged 12 (500 mg, 1.65 mmol), trimethyl orthoacetate (4 mL, 31.43 mmol), and propanoic acid (0.1 mL, 1.34 mmol). The mixture was heated at $105 110^{\circ}$ C under argon overnight (ca. 12 h). TLC analysis $(Et₂O-hexanes, 1:3)$ showed no presence of the starting material. The reaction mixture was cooled, and excess trimethyl orthoacetate was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow liquid residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography $(Et₂O-hexanes, 1:3)$ to give 580 mg (98%) of 14 as a colorless liquid: R_f 0.34 (Et₂O $$ hexanes, 1:3); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.06–7.03 (m, 1H), 6.98 $(d, J=4.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 5.29 \text{ (t, 1H)}, 4.07 \text{ (t, } J=7.6-7.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H),$ 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.42 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.97 (t, J=7.6-7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.47–2.32 (m, 4H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 154.5, 141.9, 135.2, 135.1, 131.8, 128.7, 125.1, 124.3, 122.5, 81.2, 52.1, 51.7, 35.2, 33.6, 32.2, 30.3, 29.0, 16.7; IR (neat) 2956, 2928, 1740, 1708, 1450, 1367, 1245, 1162 cm^{-1} ; CIMS *m/e* (relative intensity): 261 (17), 260 (100), 259 (37), 172 (5), 141 (10), 132 (12). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₉NO₄: C, 70.17; H, 8.13; N, 3.90. Found: C, 70.10; H, 8.20; N, 3.88.

Methyl (E) -6-(indolin-7-yl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoate (15). *Method A:* To a solution of $14(1.5 \text{ g}, 4.17 \text{ mmol})$ in dioxane (10 mL) was added 4 M HCl solution in dioxane (2 mL, 8 mmol) dropwise at 0° C. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h, diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), and made alkaline with 5% NaOH solution. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3\times50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (50 mL), dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated to give a yellow oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc-hexanes, 1:5) to afford 780 mg $(72%)$ of 15 as a colorless liquid: R_f 0.18 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:5); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$ δ 7.01 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (t, $J=7.3-7.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.31 (t, 1H), 3.71 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.57 (t, J=8.4–8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.20 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.05 (t, $J=8.4-8.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.48-2.38 (m, 4H), 1.76 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 150.6, 135.6, 129.7, 127.6, 123.3, 123.0, 122.8, 119.4, 52.1, 47.8, 35.2, 33.4, 31.0, 30.6, 16.7; IR (neat) 3371, 2948, 2849, 1736, 1457, 1257, 1163 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{21}NO_2$: C, 74.10; H, 8.16; N, 5.40. Found: C, 73.96; H, 8.05; N, 5.43.

Method B: To a solution of 14 (580 mg, 1.61 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) was added 2 M TFA solution in CH_2Cl_2 $(1.5 \text{ mL}, 3 \text{ mmol})$ dropwise at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at rt until the deprotection was complete (3 h). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL), followed by addition of 5% NaOH solution to neutralize the mixture. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×40 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (40 mL) , dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated to give a yellow oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography ($Et₂O$ hexanes, 1:3) to afford $250 \text{ mg } (60\%)$ of 15 as a colorless liquid, which was identical with the product obtained by Method A.

Methyl (E) -6-(indol-7-yl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoate (16). To a solution of 15 (390 mg, 1.50 mmol) in dry xylenes (25 mL) was added DDQ (360 mg, 1.55 mmol) in small portions. The mixture was heated at $100-110^{\circ}C$ for 2.5 h, cooled to rt, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (hexanes, then Et₂O-hexanes, 1:3) to give 290 mg (75%) of 16 as a pale

yellow liquid: R_f 0.28 (Et₂O–hexanes, 1:3); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$ δ 8.42 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 7.54 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J=2.3–3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, J=3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (t, 1H), 3.62 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.52 (t, J=6.7– 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.86 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3) ^d 174.3, 135.8, 135.6, 128.5, 124.7, 124.1, 123.9, 122.1, 120.4, 119.4, 103.3, 52.2, 35.1, 33.0, 31.6, 16.8; IR $(n$ eat) 3416, 2951, 2918, 1725, 1434, 1344 cm⁻¹. Anal. Cald for $C_{16}H_{19}NO_2$: C, 74.68; H, 7.44; N, 5.44. Found: C, 74.57; H, 7.46; N, 5.41.

Methyl (E)-6-(3-formylindol-7-yl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoate (17). Phosphorus oxychloride (0.14 mL, 1.49 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring to DMF (3 mL) under argon at $0-5^{\circ}$ C. A solution of 16 (330 mg, 1.28 mmol) in DMF (3 mL) was then added dropwise with stirring, the temperature being kept at $0-5^{\circ}$ C. After addition, the mixture was stirred at rt for 25 min when TLC analysis (EtOAchexanes, 1:5) showed no presence of the starting material. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL) and made alkaline with 2% NaOH solution. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(2\times30 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (2×20 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oily residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc-hexanes, 1:2) to give 280 mg $(77%)$ of 17 as a pale yellow oily liquid, which became a solid after being stored in the refrigerator: R_f 0.32 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 10.05 (s, 1H), 9.82 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 8.17 $(d, J=8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.87 \ (d, J=3.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.23 \ (t, J=7.3-1)$ 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.51 (t, 1H), 3.66 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.55 (t, $J=6.2-6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.41 (t, J=6.5-7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.82 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) ^d 185.9, 175.0, 136.7, 136.5, 125.5, 124.9, 124.7, 123.7, 123.6, 123.5, 120.4, 120.0, 52.2, 35.1, 32.4, 31.7, 16.6; CIMS m/e (relative intensity): 286 (MH⁺, 100), 285 (M⁺ 51), 212 (17), 198 (14), 170 (10), 158 (27), 141 (12); HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}NO_3$ (MH⁺), 286.1443, found, 286.1451.

Methyl (E)-6-(3-carboxamidoindol-7-yl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoate (18). To 10 mL of 2-propanol saturated with ammonia at 0° C was added sodium cyanide (310 mg, 6.33 mmol). After 5 min, a solution of 17 (300 mg, 1.05 mmol) in 2-propanol (2 mL) was added, followed by addition of manganese dioxide (2.2 g, 25.3 mmol) in two portions. The mixture was stirred at $0-5^{\circ}$ C overnight, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL), and filtered through a layer of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the resulting crude residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc-hexanes, 1:1, then EtOAc only) to give 15 mg (5%) of the nitrile 19 as a yellow liquid and 240 mg (76%) of 18 as a colorless oily liquid (which became a white crystalline solid after being stored in the refrigerator).

The nitrile byproduct 19: R_f 0.40 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:2); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.60 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 7.75 (d, J=3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, J=7.3-7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.50 (t, 1H), 3.67 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.56 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.42 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.83 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 175.1,

136.7, 134.8, 132.9, 131.8, 128.1, 125.4, 124.4, 123.5, 122.7, 122.4, 118.2, 52.3, 35.0, 32.1, 31.9, 16.5; EIMS *m/e* (relative intensity): 282 (M^+ , 45), 195 (67), 193 (48), 189 (21), 172 (25), 170 (84), 169 (60), 156 (19), 155 (100), 142 (27), 141 (44), 129 (10), 115 (46), 114 (29).

The amide product 18: R_f 0.27 (EtOAc only); mp 117.5– 119.5°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.29 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 7.87– 7.83 (m, 2H), 7.19 (t, J=7.4–7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (s, broad, 2H, NH₂), 5.49 (t, 1H), 3.65 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.53 (t, $J=6.1-6.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.41 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.82 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 174.8, 163.1, 136.4, 136.2, 129.7, 125.7, 125.2, 123.6, 123.2, 122.4, 118.7, 111.9, 52.3, 35.1, 32.6, 31.6, 16.3; IR (KBr) 3361, 3346, 3184, 2917, 1715, 1641, 1607, 1528, 1449, 1276, 1213, 1122 cm^{-1} ; EIMS m/e (relative intensity): 301 (MH⁺, 62), 300 (M⁺, 14), 287 (20), 283 (100), 269 (9), 189 (31), 175 (32), 157 (21), 141 (15), 129 (51); HRMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}N_2O_3$ (M⁺), 300.1474, found, 300.1457. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}N_2O_3$: C, 67.98; H, 6.71; N, 9.33. Found: C, 67.77; H, 6.72; N, 9.25.

Methyl (E)-6-[3-(methoxycarbonyl)indol-7-yl]-4-methyl-4-hexenoate (20). To a solution of 17 (100 mg, 0.35 mmol) in methanol (15 mL) was added sodium cyanide (86 mg, 1.75 mmol), followed by addition of manganese dioxide (610 mg, 7.02 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h, diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (80 mL), and filtered through a layer of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the resulting crude residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (EtOAc-hexanes, 1:3) to give 83 mg (75%) of 20 as a pale brown oily liquid: R_f 0.38 (EtOAc–hexanes, 1:2); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.16 (s, broad, 1H, NH), 8.06 (d, $J=7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, $J=3.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.20 (t, $J=7.2-8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.50 (t, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.65 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 2.54 (t, $J=6.1-6.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.42 (t, $J=6.1-6.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 174.8, 166.6, 136.3, 136.0, 132.1, 126.7, 124.9, 123.5, 123.2, 122.5, 119.9, 108.9, 52.2, 51.6, 35.1, 32.6, 31.2, 16.6; IR (neat) 3333, 2952, 1733, 1705, 1535, 1442, 1245, 1193, 1159 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}NO_4$: C, 68.55; H, 6.71; N, 4.44. Found: C, 68.32; H, 6.70; N, 4.38.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a grant (No. 1-R01- CA43975) from the National Cancer Institute, NIH, and a grant (No. F-93-17) awarded by the Mark Diamond Research Fund, Graduate Student Association of SUNY at Buffalo.

References

1. Weber, G. Cancer Res. 1983, 43, 3466-3492.

- 2. Digits, J. A.; Hedstrom, L. Biochemistry 1999, 38, 2295-2306. 3. Link, J. O.; Straub, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2091-2092.
- 4. (a) Carr, S. F.; Papp, E.; Wu, J. C.; Natsumeda, Y. J. Biol. Chem. 1993, 268, 27286–27290. (b) Konno, Y.; Natsumeda, Y.;

Nagai, M.; Yamaji, Y.; Ohno, S.; Suzuki, K.; Weber, G. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 506-509.

5. (a) Nagai, M.; Natsumeda, Y.; Weber, G. Cancer Res. 1992, 52, 258±261. (b) Nagai, M.; Natsumeda, Y.; Konno, Y.; Hoffman, R.; Irino, S.; Weber, G. Cancer Res. 1991, 51, 3886-3890.

6. (a) Tricot, G.; Jayaram, H. N.; Lapis, E.; Natsumeda, Y.; Nichols, C. R.; Kneebone, P.; Heerema, N.; Weber, G.; Hoffman, R. Cancer Res. 1989, 49, 3696-3701. (b) Weber, G.; Nagai, M.; Natsumeda, Y.; Eble, J. N.; Jayaram, H. N.; Paulik, E.; Zhen, W. N.; Hoffman, R.; Tricot, G. Cancer Commun. 1991, 3, 61-66. 7. (a) Clutterbuck, P. W.; Oxford, A. E.; Raistrick, H.; Smith, G. Biochem. J. 1932, 26, 1441-1458. (b) Oxford, A. E.; Raistrick, H. Biochem. J. 1933, 27, 1473-1478.

8. (a) Tressler, R. J.; Garvin, L. J.; Slate, D. L. Int. J. Cancer 1994, 57, 568-573. (b) Stet, E. H.; De Abreu, R. A.; Janssen, Y. P.; Bokkerink, J. P.; Trijbels, F. J. Ann. Clin. Biochem. 1994, 31, 174±180. (c) Stet, E. H.; De Abreu, R. A.; Janssen, Y. P.; Bokkerink, J. P.; Trijbels, F. J. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1993, 1180, 277-282. (d) Sweeney, M. J.; Gerzon, K.; Harris, P. N.; Holmes, R. E.; Poore, G. A.; Williams, R. H. Cancer Res. 1972, 32, 1795-1802. (e) Knudtzon, S.; Nissen, N. I. Cancer Chemother. Rep. Part 1 1972, 56, 221-227. (f) Suzuki, S.; Kimura, T.; Ando, K.; Sawada, T.; Tamura, G. J. Antibiot. 1969, 22, 297-302.

9. (a) Alfieri, C.; Allison, A. C.; Kieff, E. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1994, 38, 126-129. (b) Cline, J. C.; Nelson, J. D.; Gerzon, K.; Williams, R. H.; Delong, D. C. Appl. Microbol. 1969, 18, 14-20.

10. (a) Berman, J. D.; Webster, H. K. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1982, 21, 887-891. (b) Verham, R.; Meek, T. D.; Hedstrom, L.; Wang, C. C. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 1987, 24, $1 - 12.$

11. (a) Allison, A. C.; Eugui, E. M. Clin. Transplant. 1993, 7, 96-112. (b) Allison, A. C.; Eugui, E. M. Immunol. Rev. 1993, $136, 5-28.$

12. Epinette, W. W.; Parker, C. M.; Jones, E. L.; Greist, M. C. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 1987, 17, 962-971.

13. (a) Hood, K. A.; Zarembski, D. G.; Am. J. Health-Syst. Pharm. 1997, 54, 285-294. (b) Sievers, T. M.; Rossi, S. J.; Ghobrial, R. M.; Arriola, E.; Nishimura, P.; Kawano, M.; Holt, C. D. Pharmacotherapy 1997, 17, 1178-1197.

14. (a) Lintrup, J.; Hyltoft-Petersen, P.; Knudtzon, S.; Nissen, N. I. Cancer Chemother. Rep. Part 1 1972, 56, 229-235. (b) Bopp, R. J.; Schirmer, R. E.; Meyers, D. B. J. Pharm. Sci. 1972, 61, 1750-1753. (c) Sweeney, M. J.; Hoffman, D. H.; Esterman, M. A. Cancer Res. 1972, 32, 1803-1809.

15. Franklin, T. J.; Jacobs, V.; Jones, G.; Ple, P.; Bruneau, P. Cancer Res. 1996, 56, 984-987.

16. (a) Jones, D. F.; Mills, S. D. J. Med. Chem. 1971, 14, 305-311. (b) Jones, D. F.; Moore, R. H.; Crawley, G. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1970, 1725-1737. (c) Suzuki, S.; Takaku, S.; Mori, T. J. Antibiot. 1976, 29, 275-285. (d) Ohsugi, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Takagaki, Y. Cancer Res. 1976, 36, 2923-2927.

17. (a) Anderson, W. K.; Lee, J.; Swann, R. T.; Boehm, T. L. In Advances in New Drug Development; Kim, B.-K., Lee, E. B., Kim, C.-H., Han, Y. N., Eds.; The Pharmaceutical Society of Korea: Seoul, 1991; pp 8–17. (b) Lee, J.; Anderson, W. K. Synth. Commun. 1992, 22, 369-376. (c) Lai, G.; Anderson, W. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6849–6852. (d) Lee, J.; Anderson, W. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 861-866. (e) Anderson, W. K.; Boehm, T. L.; Makara, G. M.; Swann, R. T. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 46-55.

18. This is based on the analysis of 150 hydrogen bonds to tyrosine residues in peptide X-ray structures: Boobbyer, D. N. A.;

Goodford, P. J.; McWhinnie, P. M.; Wade, R. C. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1083-1094.

19. Anderson, W. K.; Lai, G. Synthesis 1995, 1287-1290.

20. Judd, D. B.; Brown, D. S.; Lloyd, J. E.; McElroy, A. B.;

Scopes, D. I. C.; Birch, P. J.; Hayes, A. G.; Sheehan, M. J. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 48-56.

21. Johnson, W. S.; Yarnell, T. M.; Myers, R. F.; Morton, D. R.; Boots, S. G. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1254-1259.

22. Moon, M. W.; Morris, J. K.; Heier, R. F.; Chidester, C. G.;

Hoffmann, W. E.; Piercey, M. F.; Althaus, J. S.; Von Voigtlander, P. F.; Evans, D. L.; Figur, L. M.; Lahti, R. A. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1076±1092.

23. (a) Patterson, J. W. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 4789-4798. (b) Trust, R. I.; Ireland, R. E. Organic Synthesis Collection; 1988; Vol. 6, pp 606-610. (c) Guthrie, A. E.; Semple, J. E.; Joullie, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2369-2376. (d) Johnson, W. S.; Werthemann, L.; Bartlett, W. R.; Brocksom, T. J.; Li, T.; Faulkner, D. J.; Petersen, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 741-743.

24. Stahl, G. L.; Walter, R.; Smith, C. W. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2285±2286.

25. (a) Preobrazhenskaya, M. N.; Vigdorchik, M. M.; Suvorov,

N. N. Tetrahedron 1967, 23, 4653-4660. (b) Walton, E.; Holly,

F. W.; Jenkins, S. R. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 192-197.

26. (a) James, P. N.; Snyder, H. R. Organic Synthesis Collection; 1963; Vol. 4, pp 539–542. (b) Somei, M.; Saida, Y.; Komura, N. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1986, 34, 4116-4125.

27. Gilman, N. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1971, 733-734.

28. Lai, G.; Anderson, W. K. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 1281-1283.

29. (a) Corey, E. J.; Gilman, N. W.; Ganem, B. E. J. Am. Chem.

Soc. 1968, 90, 5616-5617. (b) Corey, E. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Gilman, N. W.; Roman, S. A.; Erickson, B. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5618-5620. (c) Baudouy, R.; Gore, J. Synthesis 1974, 573-574.